Heusch, R. (1991). Tenside Surfactants Deterg. 28, 38-46.

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Neumann, A. W. & Renzow, D. (1969). Z. Phys. Chem. 68, 11-18. Ramm, M., Schulz, B., Sonnek, G. & Schmaucks, G. (1990). Cryst. Res. Technol. 25, 763-769.
- Schmauks, G., Sonnek, G., Wüstneck, R., Herbst, M. & Ramm, M. (1992). Langmuir, 8, 1724–1730.
- Spek, A. L. (1992). PLATON92. Univ. of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Taga, T., Machida, K., Kimura, N., Hayashi, S., Umemura, J. & Takenaka, T. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 608-610.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 635-638

# Cyclohexanone Semicarbazone and 4-tert-Butylcyclohexanone Semicarbazone

G. DI MAIO, S. LI<sup>†</sup> AND G. PORTALONE\*

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza', I-00185 Roma, Italy

## K. Zhou

Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

C. MARCIANTE AND R. SPAGNA

Istituto di Strutturistica Chimica, CNR, I-00016 Monterotondo Stazione, Roma, Italy

(Received 21 July 1992; accepted 6 September 1993)

## Abstract

Cyclohexanone semicarbazone (CSC),  $C_7H_{13}N_3O$ , and 4-*tert*-butyl-cyclohexanone (BCSC),  $C_{11}H_{21}N_3O$ , each adopt a slightly distorted chair conformation, with an increase of the total puckering of the sixmembered ring for BCSC. The two crystal structures are very similar, with the NHCONH<sub>2</sub> groups connected in infinite ribbons through O···H—N hydrogen bonds, with distances in the range 2.84–3.12 Å. The conformation adopted by the C=O bond with respect to the N—N bond is *trans* in both crystals.

## Comment

It is usually found in the crystal structures of uncomplexed thiosemicarbazones that the C=S bond is

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved *trans* to the N—N bond, and this *trans* conformation is retained in semicarbazones, the only exception being acetone semicarbazone (Naik & Palenik, 1974). Since it has not been established what factors determine the *cis/trans* conformation of the semicarbazide fragment in semicarbazones, the title compounds were chosen for an X-ray investigation to provide more structural information on this class of compounds.



The ring conformation of each compound can be described as a slightly distorted chair flattened at the C=N apex (Figs. 1 and 2), allowing the C(2)-C(1)-C(6) bond angle to increase to 115.7 (2)° for



Fig. 1. Drawings of (a) molecule A and (b) molecule B in the crystal of cyclohexanone semicarbazone (CSC) showing the anisotropy of the thermal motion. The displacement ellipsoids of the non-H atoms have been scaled to the 30% probability level. The drawing is based on the atomic parameters from the final refinement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>On leave from the Department of Applied Chemistry of Nanjing, Institute of Chemical Technology, Nanjing, People's Republic of China.

CSC and to  $113.6 (4)^{\circ}$  for BCSC, while the other internal angles remain close to the corresponding values found in cyclohexane  $[111.4 \pm 0.2^{\circ}]$  (Bastiansen, Fernholt, Seip, Kambara & Kuchitsu, 1973)]. In BCSC, the values of 108.2 (5)° for C(3)—C(4)—C(5), 114.6 (5)° for C(3)—C(4)—C(8) and 114.5 (5)° for C(5)—C(4)—C(8) show that the steric strain caused by the presence of a bulky substituent is minimized by slight deformations in the skeleton of the sixmembered ring. A similar angular deformation of the cyclohexane ring has been observed in many other 4-tert-butylcyclohexane derivatives (van Koningsveld, 1972; James & Grainger, 1972; Chiaroni, Riche & Pascard-Billy, 1974; Lectard, Lichanot, Metras, Gaultier & Hauw, 1975; Doesburg, Petit & Merckx, 1982; Reetz, Schwellnus, Hübner, Massa & Schmidt, 1983; van Koningsveld & Jansen, 1984; Labar, Krier, Norberg, Evrard & Durant, 1985; Mariezcurrena & Fornaro, 1988). The C-C bond lengths do not show appreciable differences from the mean values obtained from the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen *et al.*, 1987) for  $C_{sp^3}$ — $C_{sp^2}$ [1.507 (15) Å] and  $C_{sp^3}$ — $C_{sp^3}$  [1.535 (16) Å] bonds in cyclohexane derivatives.

The staggered conformation assumed by the equatorial *tert*-butyl group of BCSC is in agreement with MM2 force-field calculations (Burkert & Allinger, 1982) and with those found from the CSD for similar compounds (van Koningsveld & Jansen, 1984).

In both compounds, the semicarbazone group has a conformation in which the C=O bond is *trans* to the N-N bond. From Figs. 3 and 4 it may be seen that, although both compounds have three atoms capable of hydrogen bonding, only two of them are

utilized. The participation of all three H atoms in hydrogen bonding has been observed only in acetone semicarbazone (Naik & Palenik, 1974), and it has been suggested that the uncommon *cis* conformation of the semicarbazone group found in this molecule could be dependent on the hydrogen-bonding pattern. In CSC and BCSC, the terminal NHCONH<sub>2</sub> group forms dimer units involving the hydrazine and



Fig. 3. Projection of the crystal structure down c in cyclohexanone semicarbazone (CSC). The symmetry operations relating the two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit [(i) molecule A at x, y, z and (ii) molecule B at x, y, z] to the other molecules are: (iii) molecule B, 1 + x, y, z; (iv) molecule A, 1 + x, y, z. O and N atoms of the semicarbazone moieties are represented by filled circles. The hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.



Fig. 2. A drawing of the 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone semicarbazone molecule (BCSC) showing the anisotropy of the thermal motion. The displacement ellipsoids of the non-H atoms have been scaled to the 30% probability level. The drawing is based on the atomic parameters from the final refinement.



Fig. 4. Projection of the crystal structure down c in 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone semicarbazone (BCSC). The symmetry operations relating the various molecules are: (i) x, y, z; (ii) 1 - x, 0.5 + y, 0.5 - z; (iii) x, -1 + y, z; (iv) 1 - x, -0.5 + y, 0.5 - z. O and N atoms of the semicarbazone moieties are represented by filled circles. The hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

the amide groups via intermolecular O.-H-N hydrogen bonds, with O...N distances in the range 2.84-3.12 (2) Å in CSC and 2.88-3.10 (5) Å in BCSC. This gives rise to undulated ribbons of molecules which run parallel to the shortest axes of the cells, with adjacent ribbons being held together by van der Waals forces. A preliminary communication of the present work has been given (Portalone et al., 1988).

## **Experimental** CSC

Crystal data C7H13N3O  $M_r = 155.20$ Triclinic ΡĪ a = 7.346 (1) Å b = 10.632 (1) Åc = 11.986(1) Å  $\alpha = 64.85 (1)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 88.31 (1)^{\circ}$  $\gamma = 89.31 (1)^{\circ}$ V = 847.0 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

## Data collection

Syntex P2<sub>1</sub> diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: none 4733 measured reflections 2995 independent reflections 2265 observed reflections  $[|F_o| > 3\sigma |F_o|]$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.0399wR = 0.0450S = 0.12265 reflections 303 parameters

## BCSC

Crystal data
$C_{11}H_{21}N_3O$
$M_r = 211.31$
Monoclinic
$P2_{1}/c$
a = 16.029 (5) Å
<i>b</i> = 7.431 (2) Å
<i>c</i> = 10.518 (2) Å
$\beta = 97.91 (2)^{\circ}$
V = 1240.9 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.131 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

$D_{\rm r} = 1.217 {\rm Mg}{ m m}^{-3}$
$D_{\rm c} = 1.223 {\rm Mg}{\rm m}^{-3}$
$C_{\rm H} K_{\rm C}$ radiation
$\lambda = 1.5/18$ Å
A = 1.3410 A Call parameters from 24
reflections
a = 21 50°
$r = 0.70 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\mu = 0.70 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
I = 293  K
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ adjets} \\ 0.28    0.28    0.08      0.08        0.08         $
$0.38 \times 0.38 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
Lolourless

 $\theta_{\rm max}$  = 69°

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 8$  $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$ 

3 standard reflections

All H-atom parameters

 $w = 1/(26.0 + F_o + 0.028F_o^2)$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.1 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ 

reflections  $\theta = 8 - 12^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K**Tablets** 

Colourless

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.10$  mm

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.1 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

## Data collection

Syntex P2 <sub>1</sub> diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 18$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 10$
none	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
3847 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
2587 independent reflections	monitored every 100
1089 observed reflections	reflections
$[ F_o  > 3\sigma  F_o ]$	intensity variation: <4.0%
$R_{\rm int} = 0.0563$	-

## Refinement

Refinement on F	Only coordinates of H atoms
R = 0.060	refined
wR = 0.0671	$w = 1/(100.0 +  F_o )$
S = 0.2	$+ 0.001  F_o ^2$
1089 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.02$
199 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.1 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.2 e Å <sup>-3</sup>

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

## $B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

olouriess		~		_	n
	CEC	X	y	z	Beq
	Mology	10.4			
- 60°			0.2621 (1)	0.4022.(1)	4 17 /4
$\max_{nax} = 09$	N(1)	-0.0990 (2)	0.2031(1)	0.4923(1)	4.17 (4)
$= 0 \rightarrow 8$	N(1)	0.0115(2)	-0.0780 (2)	0.6907 (2)	4.20 (5
$= -12 \rightarrow 12$	N(2)	0.0360 (2)	0.0004 (2)	0.0123(1)	3.67 (5)
$= -14 \rightarrow 14$	$\Gamma(3)$	-0.2711(2)	0.0709 (2)	0.0055 (2)	7.07(9)
standard reflections	C(1)	0.1490 (2)	-0.1300(2)	0.7303 (2)	3.03 (0)
standard reflections	C(2)	0.3430(3)	-0.1135(2)	0.7109(2)	4.71(7
monitored every 100	C(3)	0.4455 (4)	-0.1743(3)	0.8384 (3)	0.81 (10
reflections	C(4)	0.4156 (5)	-0.3290(2)	0.9093(3)	5.30(8)
intensity variation: <45%	C(3)	0.2137(4) 0.1110(2)	-0.3018(3)	0.9343(2)	5.62 (8)
	C(0)	0.1110 (3)	-0.3067(2)	0.8150(3)	5.52 (8)
	C(I)	-0.1130(2)	0.1400 (2)	0.5655 (2)	3.67 (5)
	Molecule B				
	O(1)	-0.6016 (2)	0.2156(1)	0 5061 (1)	4 60 (5)
U atom naromators	N(1)	-0.4844(2)	0.5519 (2)	0 2961 (2)	4.08 (5)
n n-atom parameters	N(2)	-0.4615 (2)	0.4189(2)	0.3873(2)	3 88 (5)
refined	N(3)	-0.7620(2)	0.3844(2)	0.3602(2)	5 62 (7)
$= 1/(26.0 + F_o + 0.028F_o^2)$	Cù	-0.3547(3)	0.6398 (2)	0.2689(2)	3 81 (6)
$(\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$	C(2)	-0.1697(3)	0.6201 (2)	0.3222(2)	4.21 (7)
	C(3)	-0.0206(3)	0.6801 (2)	0.2225(2)	4 86 (8)
$\rho_{\rm max} = 0.1  {\rm e  A}^2$	C(4)	-0.0617(3)	0.8277 (2)	0.1296 (2)	5.19 (8)
$\rho_{\rm min} = -0.1 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$	C(5)	-0.2447 (4)	0.8355 (3)	0.0730(2)	5.65 (8)
	C(6)	-0.3950 (3)	0.7834 (2)	0.1714 (3)	5.54 (8)
	C(7)	-0.6105 (2)	0.3342 (2)	0.4221 (2)	3.63 (6)
	BCSC				
		-0.5006 (2)	0.0008 (4)	0 2740 (2)	41(1)
$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ radiation	N(1)	-0.3000(2) -0.3760(2)	-0.0238 (5)	-0.2749(3)	4.1(1)
-0.71060 Å	N(2)	-0.3766(2) -0.4256(3)	-0.0238(5) -0.0510(5)		3.5(1)
= 0./1009 A	N(3)	-0.4305(3)	0 2577 (6)	-0.1166 (5)	5.0(1) 5.7(2)
ell parameters from 25	C(I)	-0.3469 (3)	-0 1597 (6)	-0.0770(4)	3.7(2)
reflections	C(2)	-0.3564(3)	-0.3564 (6)	0.0779(4)	3.4(1)
$= 8 - 12^{\circ}$	C(3)	-0.2706(4)	-0.4509 (8)	0.0474 (5)	3.0(1)
$-0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C(4)	-0.2185(3)	-0.4163(7)	0.0048 (5)	4.5(2)
- 0.08 mm	C(5)	-0.2089(4)	-0.2130(7)	0.1300(5)	4.0(1)
= 293 K	C(6)	-0.2950 (4)	-0.1221(8)	0.2142(5) 0.2049(5)	4.3(2)
ablets	C(7)	-0.4548(3)	0.1221(0)	-0.1704(5)	36(1)
$40 \times 0.40 \times 0.10$ mm	C(8)	-0.1355 (3)	-0.5224(7)	0 2207 (6)	$\frac{3.3(1)}{4.8(2)}$
	C(9)	-0.0906(5)	-0.4817(12)	0.3565 (0)	70(2)
olourless	C(10)	-0.1554(5)	-0.7257(11)	0.3303 (3)	1.7 (3) 8 5 (2)
	C(II)	-0.0762 (5)	-0.4774(14)	0.1230(10)	8 / (2)
	~~~~	0.0702 (3)	0.7//7(14)	0.1237(10)	0.4 (3)

Table 2. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°)

	CSC				
	Molecule A	Molecule B	BCSC		
O(1) - C(7)	1.231 (3)	1.237 (6)	1.237 (6)		
N(3) - C(7)	1.320 (3)	1.331 (3)	1.330 (7)		
N(2) - C(7)	1.367 (3)	1.363 (3)	1.363 (6)		
N(2) - N(1)	1.382 (3)	1.382 (3)	1.393 (5)		
N(1) - C(1)	1.278 (3)	1.277 (3)	1.278 (6)		
C(1) - C(2)	1.491 (3)	1.493 (3)	1.500 (7)		
C(2) - C(3)	1.520 (5)	1.526 (4)	1.533 (7)		
C(3) - C(4)	1.513 (5)	1.521 (5)	1.534 (7)		
C(4)C(5)	1.506 (4)	1.509 (4)	1.527 (8)		
C(5) - C(6)	1.524 (5)	1.516 (4)	1.528 (8)		
C(6)—C(1)	1.499 (4)	1.509 (4)	1.500 (7)		
C(4)—C(8)			1.538 (7)		
C(8)—C(9)			1.539 (10)		
C(8)—C(10)			1.543 (10)		
C(8)—C(11)			1.522 (12)		
O(1) - C(7) - N(3)	123.1 (2)	122.4 (2)	122.3 (5)		
O(1) - C(7) - N(2)	121.1 (2)	120.6 (2)	120.6 (4)		
N(3) - C(7) - N(2)	115.8 (2)	116.9 (2)	117.0 (5)		
N(1) - N(2) - C(7)	117.7 (2)	117.1 (2)	115.7 (4)		
N(2) - N(1) - C(1)	119.7 (2)	119.6 (2)	119.5 (4)		
N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	127.5 (2)	128.6 (2)	129.4 (4)		
N(1) - C(1) - C(6)	116.8 (2)	115.6 (2)	117.1 (4)		
C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	115.7 (2)	115.7 (2)	113.6 (4)		
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	110.9 (3)	111.9 (2)	111.0 (4)		
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	112.4 (2)	112.7 (2)	113.5 (5)		
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	109.8 (2)	111.0 (2)	108.2 (5)		
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	111.3 (3)	111.1 (3)	110.7 (5)		
C(5) - C(6) - C(1)	112.1 (2)	111.7 (2)	111.1 (4)		
C(3) - C(4) - C(8)			114.6 (5)		
C(5) - C(4) - C(8)			114.5 (5)		
C(4) - C(8) - C(9)			109.8 (5)		
C(4) - C(8) - C(10)			109.1 (5)		
C(4) - C(8) - C(11)			112.3 (6)		
C(9) - C(8) - C(10)			106.3 (6)		
C(9) - C(8) - C(11)			108.8 (6)		
C(10) - C(8) - C(11)			110.3 (6)		

For both compounds data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption. The structures were determined by direct methods with *SIR*88 (Burla *et al.*, 1989) and refined by anisotropic full-matrix least squares. The H atoms were localized from final difference Fourier syntheses and refined isotropically. For BCSC the isotropic temperature factor of the H atoms was arbitrarily fixed to the corresponding value of the attached non-H atoms. There are no significant differences between the two crystallographically independent molecules of CSC. Calculations were carried out on a Data General Eclipse MV/8000 II using mainly *SIRCAOS* (Camalli *et al.*, 1986). Some of the final calculations were performed with *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983). The scattering factors used were those of Cromer & Mann (1968) for the non-H atoms and those of Hanson, Herman, Lea & Skillman (1964) for the H atoms.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and Hatom coordinates for CSC and BCSC, and complete geometry for CSC have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71616 (26 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: GR1006]

### References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, S1-S19.

 $\square$  1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Bastiansen, O., Fernholt, L., Seip, H. M., Kambara, H. & Kuchitsu, K. (1973). J. Mol. Struct. 18, 163–168.

- Burkert, U. & Allinger, N. L. (1982). Molecular Mechanics. ACS Monogr. No 177, p. 97.
- Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G, Spagna, R. & Viterbo, D. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 389-393.
- Camalli, M., Capitani, D., Cascarano, G., Cerrini, S., Giacovazzo, C. & Spagna, R. (1986). SIRCAOS User Guide. Istituto di Strutturistica Chimica CNR, CP No. 10,00016 Monterotondo Stazione, Rome, Italy. Italian Patent 35403c/86.
- Chiaroni, A., Riche, C. & Pascard-Billy, C. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1914–1917.
- Cromer, D. T. & Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- Doesburg, H. M., Petit, G. H. & Merckx, E. M. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1181–1185.
- Hanson, H. P., Herman, F., Lea, J. D. & Skillman, S. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1040-1044.
- James, V. J. & Grainger, C. T. (1972). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1, 111-114.
- Koningsveld, H. van (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 1189-1195.
- Koningsveld, H. van & Jansen, J. C. (1984). Acta Cryst. B40, 420-424.
- Labar, D., Krier, A., Norberg, B., Evrard, G. & Durant, F. (1985). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 94, 1083-1100.
- Lectard, A., Lichanot, A., Metras, F., Gaultier, J. & Hauw, C. (1975). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 4, 527-530.
- Mariezcurrena, R. & Fornaro, L. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 2189-2191.
- Naik, D. V. & Palenik, G. J. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2396–2401. Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95–98.
- Portalone, G., Di Maio, G., Li, S., Marciante, C., Spagna, R. & Vecchi, E. (1988). XIX National Meeting of the Italian Crystallographic Association, Modena, Italy. Abstract P20.
- Reetz, M. T., Schwellnus, K., Hübner, F., Massa, W. & Schmidt, R. E. (1983). Chem. Ber. 116, 3708-3724.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 638-640

# 1,1';2,2'-Bis-μ-dimethylsilyl-bis[1,2-dicarbacloso-dodecaborane(12)], C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>32</sub>B<sub>20</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>

## RAIKKO KIVEKÄS

Department of Chemistry, PO Box 6 (Vuorikatu 20), FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

ANTONIO ROMEROSA AND CLARA VIÑAS

Institut de Ciència dels Materials, CSIC, Campus Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, 08913 Barcelona, Spain

(Received 20 April 1993; accepted 15 September 1993)

## Abstract

The molecule is situated across the center of inversion. Two *closo*-carbaborane cages are joined by two Si bridges with Si—C bonds of 1.907(3) and 1.914(3) Å.